

**Enbridge Pipelines Inc.
Line 10 Westover Segment Replacement Project
File No. OF-Fac-Oil-E101-2015-09-02
Hearing Order OH-001-2016**

TO: The Secretary
National Energy Board
517- 10th Ave SW
Calgary, AB, T2R 0A8

ENBRIDGE PIPELINES INC.

ERRATA

OCTOBER 17, 2016

Enbridge Pipelines Inc.
Line 10 Westover Segment Replacement Project
File No. OF-Fac-Oil-E101-2015-09-02
Hearing Order OH-001-2016

Document Name	Errata	Reason for Change	NEB Reference						
Application – Volume II - Section 7 Engineering	<p>At Adobe page 3, Table 7.2, CSA Location Factor reads 2.0 for columns Line Pipe and Barrel Pipe and should read 1.000.</p> <p>Reads:</p> <table><tr><td>CSA Location Factor</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td></tr></table> <p>Should read:</p> <table><tr><td>CSA Location Factor</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></tr></table>	CSA Location Factor	2.0	2.0	CSA Location Factor	1.000	1.000	Clerical error	A74508-13
CSA Location Factor	2.0	2.0							
CSA Location Factor	1.000	1.000							
Application – Volume II - Appendix 6.1 - Environmental and Socio-economic Assessment	<p>At Adobe pages 28-29, Table 2.4-1 of the ESA states that a minimum depth of cover will be 0.9 m.</p> <p>At Adobe pages 29-30, Table 2.4-2 of the ESA states that <i>“the replacement pipeline will be installed at a minimum depth of cover of at least 0.6 m in rock areas and 0.9 m for the remainder of the route.”</i></p> <p>The reference in Table 2.4-1 was missing the clarification of depth of cover in rock areas.</p>	Clerical error	A74508-2						
Application – Volume II - Appendix 6.1 - Environmental and Socio-economic Assessment	<p>At Adobe page 232, Section 6.3 – Effects Assessment – Permanent Facilities.</p> <p>At Adobe pages 238-244, Section 6.3.1.2 included a significance evaluation for effects associated with increased air emissions and greenhouse gas (“GHG”) during operations and maintenance activities. The effects assessment for air emissions and GHG included a discussion that maintenance</p>	Clarification of an inconsistency	A74508-2						

Enbridge Pipelines Inc.
Line 10 Westover Segment Replacement Project
File No. OF-Fac-Oil-E101-2015-09-02
Hearing Order OH-001-2016

Document Name	Errata	Reason for Change	NEB Reference
	activities are likely to occur during operations (which is accurate) but did not account for the fact that there are existing activities that already occur and are not anticipated to increase as a result of the Project. This assessment discussion is overly conservative and contradicts Table 6.3-1, which identified no interaction with the permanent facilities component of the Project with the air and GHG elements during Project operations. The discussion of the residual effect of increased air and GHG emissions in Section 6.3.1.2 should have stated the interaction is related to Project construction activities (i.e., isolated frequency) and that there is no anticipated interaction during operations and maintenance activities.		
Application – Volume II - Appendix 6.1 - Environmental and Socio-economic Assessment	At Adobe pages 21-22, the potential cumulative effect in Table 7.6-1 associated with Point 3, should state “Cumulative blockage of fish movement” not “Cumulative increase in riparian habitat disturbance.”	Clerical error	A74508-4
Application – Volume II - Appendix 6.1 - Environmental and Socio-economic Assessment	At Adobe page 30, point 2.0 in Table 7.8-1, states “Loss of approximately 16.7 ha of native vegetation” in association with the works occurring at Westover Terminal and Nanticoke Junction Facility. As stated in Section 7.8.2, this reference should have stated “Loss of approximately 0.07 ha of native vegetation” in association with these facilities.	Clerical error	A74508-4

Enbridge Pipelines Inc.
Line 10 Westover Segment Replacement Project
File No. OF-Fac-Oil-E101-2015-09-02
Hearing Order OH-001-2016

Document Name	Errata	Reason for Change	NEB Reference
Preliminary Environmental Protection Plan.	<p>At Adobe pages 299-300, text in column for “Site-Specific Mitigation” in Table 4 of Appendix O of the Preliminary Environmental Protection Plan (“EPP”) incorrectly refers to Appendix O for site-specific mitigation measures. Mitigation measures to be implemented are outlined in Appendix N of the Preliminary EPP.</p> <p>Text should state: “refer to Appendix N”</p>	Clerical error	A78552-2
Decommissioning Environmental Technical Report – August 2016 Revision 1	<p>At Adobe page 40, in Table 8.2-1, the base case assumptions for the decommissioning of Line 10 incorrectly states that the Canadian Energy Pipeline Association (“CEPA”), <i>Pipeline Abandonment Assumptions: Technical and Environmental Considerations for Development of Pipeline Abandonment Strategies</i> recommends “decommission in-place with special treatment as determined in consultation with utility companies” for land use classified as “Other Crossings (Utilities).”</p> <p>Rather, for land use classified as “Other Crossings (Utilities),” CEPA recommends “abandon in-place”.</p>	Clerical error	A78970-4