

Table of Contents

Information Request No. 1.....	2
Consultation Matters .....	2
1.1 Notification of potentially affected third parties .....	2
Engineering Matters.....	2
1.2 Decommission of facility piping at Burnaby Terminal .....	2
Financial Matters .....	3
1.3 Cost associated with decommissioning .....	3
General Matters.....	4
1.4 Environmental Protection Plan .....	4

Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (Trans Mountain)  
Section 45.1 of the *Onshore Pipeline Regulations*  
Application to Decommission Piping at the Burnaby Terminal  
NEB File OF-Fac-Oil-T260-2017-02 01  
Filed 25 January 2017

**Information Request No. 1**

**Consultation Matters**

**1.1 Notification of potentially affected third parties**

**Reference:** i) [A513X8](#) Trans Mountain Application, PDF page 10 of 10  
ii) [A513W5](#) Associated Application to Relocate Facility Piping at Burnaby Terminal under section 58 of the *National Energy Board Act*; Consultation, PDF page 1 of 1

**Preamble:** References i) and ii) state that Trans Mountain will provide notification to potentially affected third parties, approximately ten days in advance of decommissioning and relocation activities. It is unclear how Trans Mountain will identify who are “potentially affected third parties”, who these parties are, and what method of notification will be used.

**Request:** Please provide a description of Trans Mountain’s project-specific notification activities, including but not limited to:

- a) how potentially affected third parties will be identified ;
- b) who are the current identified potentially affected third parties that will be notified; and
- c) the methods of notification.

**Engineering Matters**

**1.2 Decommission of facility piping at Burnaby Terminal**

**Reference:** i) [A81465-2](#), Trans Mountain, Application to Decommission Facility Piping at Burnaby Terminal, PDF Pages 6-8 of 10  
ii) [NEB Filing Manual](#), Guide K, PDF Pages 263-264 of 279

**Preamble:** Reference i) provides a description of the decommissioning activities, including the Shell Delivery Line that will be left in-place. However, it did not specify any details regarding signage or how the pipeline crossings are not at risk of disturbance due to settlement, as required in reference ii).

**Request:** Please provide details to confirm that:

- a) the pipeline is going to be equipped with signage; and
- b) the crossings are not at risk of disturbance due to settlement.

## **Financial Matters**

### **1.3 Cost associated with decommissioning**

**Reference:**

- i) [A5F4Y8](#), Trans Mountain, Updated Abandonment Cost Estimate (2016), 30 September 2016, section 4.1, Project Cost and Toll Impact, paragraph 24, PDF page 7 of 7
- ii) [A81465](#), Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC, Application to Decommission Facility Piping at Burnaby Terminal, paragraph 4.1, PDF page 9 of 10

**Preamble:** In reference i), Trans Mountain submitted that it does not expect to access funds from its Pipeline Reclamation Trust over the next five years to decommission or abandon facilities. Trans Mountain stated that in the event the Trans Mountain Expansion Project proceeds and Trans Mountain determines it will decommission/abandon certain existing facilities as a result, it will prepare a five year decommissioning/abandonment plan.

In reference ii), Trans Mountain stated that the costs associated with the decommissioning activities will be paid for by Trans Mountain, and are estimated to total approximately \$3.8 million.

**Request:**

- a) Confirm that Trans Mountain will be preparing and submitting an update to its 30 September 2016 ACE Review filing with regard to its five year decommissioning /abandonment plan, as stated in reference i).
- b) Confirm whether Trans Mountain will apply to the Board, through a separate application, to access funds from its Pipeline Reclamation Trust to cover the costs upon completion of the decommissioning activities as described in reference ii).

## General Matters

### 1.4 Environmental Protection Plan

**Reference:** [A81465-5](#), Trans Mountain, Application to Decommission Facility Piping at Burnaby Terminal, Appendix C: Environmental and Socio-economic Assessment, Appendix 1: Environmental Protection Plan, Sections 6.0, 6.2, 8.0, Appendices D and E, PDF pages 61, 62, 66, 100 and 102 of 102

**Preamble:** There appear to be a number of incorrect section references within the Environmental Protection Plan document (Appendix 1). For example:

- a) Section 6.0 – Under the heading “Construction Documentation” it refers to Section 4.5 for measures regarding the EPP distribution. There is no Section 4.5 in the document.
- b) Section 6.2 – Under the heading “Clearing/Mowing” there is a reference to the “Wildlife” heading in Section 6.0 for the pre-clearing and pre-mowing mitigation. There is no such heading and mitigation provided in Section 6.0.
- c) Section 6.2 – Under the heading “Staking/Flagging/Fencing” there is reference to mitigation measures under the Staking/Flagging/Fencing and Signage headings in Section 6.11. There is no Section 6.11 in the document.
- d) Section 8.0 - Under the “Backfill, Clean-up and Reclamation” heading, there is a reference to review and implement the mitigative measures pertaining to backfilling presented in Section 8.0. There is no further information in Section 8.0 regarding backfill mitigation measures.
- e) Appendix D, Table D-1 and Appendix E, Figure 2 – Under the watercourses heading, there is a reference to follow the mitigation measures outlined in Section 8.0 if instream works are required in any drainage/channel. There are no such mitigation measures in Section 8.0
- f) Appendix E, Figure 2 – Under the “Wildlife” heading, there is a reference to refer to section 6.0 for migratory bird mitigation measures. There are no such mitigation measures in Section 6.0.

**Request:** Provide an updated version of the Environmental Protection Plan with all section references corrected and include any missing information.